

COMPLIANCE REVIEW REPORT

CALIFORNIA STUDENT AID COMMISSION

Compliance Review Unit State Personnel Board December 31, 2022

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	1
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	2
BACKGROUND	3
SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY	4
FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	6
EXAMINATIONS	6
APPOINTMENTS	8
EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY	11
PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACTS	11
Mandated Training	13
COMPENSATION AND PAY	17
LEAVE	23
LEAVE AUDITING AND TIMEKEEPING	26
Policy and Processes	27
DEPARTMENTAL RESPONSE	30
SPB REPLY	30

INTRODUCTION

Established by the California Constitution, the State Personnel Board (the SPB or Board) is charged with enforcing and administering the civil service statutes, prescribing probationary periods and classifications, adopting regulations, and reviewing disciplinary actions and merit-related appeals. The SPB oversees the merit-based recruitment and selection process for the hiring of over 200,000 state employees. These employees provide critical services to the people of California, including but not limited to, protecting life and property, managing emergency operations, providing education, promoting the public health, and preserving the environment. The SPB provides direction to departments through the Board's decisions, rules, policies, and consultation.

Pursuant to Government Code section 18661, the SPB's Compliance Review Unit (CRU) conducts compliance reviews of appointing authorities' personnel practices in five areas: examinations, appointments, equal employment opportunity (EEO), personal services contracts (PSC's), and mandated training, to ensure compliance with civil service laws and Board regulations. The purpose of these reviews is to ensure state agencies are in compliance with merit related laws, rules, and policies and to identify and share best practices identified during the reviews.

Pursuant to Government Code section 18502, subdivision (c), the SPB and the California Department of Human Resources (CalHR) may "delegate, share, or transfer between them responsibilities for programs within their respective jurisdictions pursuant to an agreement." SPB and CalHR, by mutual agreement, expanded the scope of program areas to be audited to include more operational practices that have been delegated to departments and for which CalHR provides policy direction. Many of these delegated practices are cost drivers to the state and were not being monitored on a statewide basis.

As such, SPB also conducts compliance reviews of appointing authorities' personnel practices to ensure that state departments are appropriately managing the following non-merit-related personnel functions: compensation and pay, leave, and policy and processes. These reviews will help to avoid and prevent potential costly litigation related to improper personnel practices, and deter waste, fraud, and abuse.

The SPB conducts these reviews on a three-year cycle.

The CRU may also conduct special investigations in response to a specific request or when the SPB obtains information suggesting a potential merit-related violation.

It should be noted that this report only contains findings from this hiring authority's compliance review. Other issues found in SPB appeals and special investigations as well

as audit and review findings by other agencies such as the CalHR and the California State Auditor are reported elsewhere.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The CRU conducted a routine compliance review of the California Student Aid Commission (CSAC) personnel practices in the areas of examinations, appointments, EEO, PSC's, mandated training, compensation and pay, leave, and policy and processes. The following table summarizes the compliance review findings.

Area	Severity	Finding
Examinations	In Compliance	Examinations Complied with Civil Service Laws and Board Rules
Examinations	In Compliance	Permanent Withhold Actions Complied with Civil Service Laws and Board Rules
Appointments	Serious	Probationary Evaluations Were Not Provided for All Appointments Reviewed
Equal Employment Opportunity	In Compliance	Equal Employment Opportunity Program Complied with All Civil Service Laws and Board Rules
Personal Services Contracts	In Compliance	Personal Services Contracts Complied with Procedural Requirements
Mandated Training	Very Serious	Ethics Training Was Not Provided for All Filers
Mandated Training	Very Serious	Sexual Harassment Prevention Training Was Not Provided for All Employees ¹
Mandated Training	Very Serious	Supervisory Training Was Not Provided for All Supervisors, Managers, and CEAs
Compensation and Pay	In Compliance	Salary Determinations Complied with Civil Service Laws, Board Rules, and CalHR Policies and Guidelines
Compensation and Pay	In Compliance	Exceptions to Salary Rules Complied with Civil Service Laws, Board Rules, and CalHR Policies and Guidelines
Compensation and Pay	In Compliance	Alternate Range Movements Complied with Civil Service Laws, Board Rules, and CalHR Policies and Guidelines

every two years.

¹ Repeat finding. The October 4, 2019, CSAC Compliance Review Report identified 7 out of 16 new supervisors were not provided Sexual Harassment Prevention Training within six months of their appointment, and 1 out of 12 existing supervisors were not provided sexual harassment prevention training

Area	Severity	Finding
Compensation and Pay	In Compliance	Hire Above Minimum Request Complied with Civil Service Laws, Board Rules, and CalHR Policies and Guidelines
Compensation and Pay	In Compliance	Bilingual Pay Authorizations Complied with Civil Service Laws, Board Rules, and CalHR Policies and Guidelines
Leave	In Compliance	Positive Paid Employees' Tracked Hours Complied with Civil Service Laws, Board Rules, and/or CalHR Policies and Guidelines
Leave	In Compliance	Administrative Time Off Authorizations Complied with Civil Service Laws, Board Rules, and/or CalHR Policies and Guidelines
Leave	Serious	Department Has Not Implemented a Monthly Internal Audit Process to Verify All Leave Input is Keyed Accurately and Timely ²
Policy	In Compliance	Nepotism Policy Complied with Civil Service Laws, Board Rules, and/or CalHR Policies and Guidelines
Policy	In Compliance	Workers' Compensation Process Complied with Civil Service Laws, Board Rules, and/or CalHR Policies and Guidelines
Policy	Serious	Performance Appraisals Were Not Provided to All Employees ³

BACKGROUND

Since its creation by the Legislature in 1955, the operates as the principal state agency responsible for administering financial aid programs for students attending public and private universities, colleges, and vocational schools in California.

The vision of the CSAC is toward a California that invests in educational opportunity, fosters an active, effective citizenry, and provides a higher quality of social and economic

² Repeat finding. The October 4, 2019, CSAC Compliance Review Report identified that the department had not implemented a monthly internal audit process to verify all leave input was keyed accurately and timely.

³ Repeat finding. The October 4, 2019, CSAC Compliance Review Report identified 2 out 15 employees reviewed were not provided Performance Appraisals.

life for its citizens. The department's central mission is to make education beyond high school financially accessible to all Californians.

The CSAC provides financial aid policy analysis and leadership, in partnership with California's colleges, universities, financial institutions, and financial associations. Furthermore, the Commission employs approximately 137 employees in order to service the State of California.

SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY

The scope of the compliance review was limited to reviewing the CSAC's examinations, appointments, EEO program, PSC's, mandated training, compensation and pay, leave, and policy and processes⁴. The primary objective of the review was to determine if the CSAC's personnel practices, policies, and procedures complied with state civil service laws and Board regulations, Bargaining Unit Agreements, CalHR policies and guidelines, CalHR Delegation Agreements, and to recommend corrective action where deficiencies were identified.

A cross-section of the CSAC's examinations were selected for review to ensure that samples of various examination types, classifications, and levels were reviewed. The CRU examined the documentation that the CSAC provided, which included examination plans, examination bulletins, job analyses, and scoring results. The CRU also reviewed the CSAC's permanent withhold actions documentation, including Withhold Determination Worksheets, State applications (STD 678), class specifications, and withhold letters.

A cross-section of the CSAC's appointments were selected for review to ensure that samples of various appointment types, classifications, and levels were reviewed. The CRU examined the documentation that the CSAC provided, which included Notice of Personnel Action forms, Request for Personnel Actions, vacancy postings, certification lists, transfer movement worksheets, employment history records, correspondence, and probation reports. The CSAC did not conduct any unlawful appointment investigations during the compliance review period. Additionally, the CSAC did not make any additional appointments during the compliance review period.

The CSAC's appointments were also selected for review to ensure the CSAC applied salary regulations accurately and correctly processed employees' compensation and pay.

-

⁴ Timeframes of the compliance review varied depending on the area of review. Please refer to each section for specific compliance review timeframes.

The CRU examined the documentation that the CSAC provided, which included employees' employment and pay history and any other relevant documentation such as certifications, degrees, and/or the appointee's application. Additionally, the CRU reviewed specific documentation for the following personnel functions related to compensation and pay: hire above minimum (HAM) requests, bilingual pay, and alternate range movements.

During the compliance review period, the CSAC did not issue or authorize red circle rate requests, arduous pay, monthly pay differentials, and out-of-class assignments.

The review of the CSAC's EEO program included examining written EEO policies and procedures; the EEO Officer's role, duties, and reporting relationship; the internal discrimination complaint process; the reasonable accommodation program; the discrimination complaint process; and the Disability Advisory Committee.

The CSAC's PSC's were also reviewed.⁵ It was beyond the scope of the compliance review to make conclusions as to whether the CSAC's justifications for the contracts were legally sufficient. The review was limited to whether the CSAC's practices, policies, and procedures relative to PSC's complied with procedural requirements.

The CSAC's mandated training program was reviewed to ensure all employees required to file statements of economic interest were provided ethics training, that all supervisors, managers, and Career Executive Assignments (CEA) were provided leadership and development training, and that all employees were provided sexual harassment prevention training within statutory timelines.

The CRU reviewed the CSAC's monthly internal audit process to verify all leave input into any leave accounting system was keyed accurately and timely and ensure the department certified that all leave records have been reviewed and corrected if necessary. The CRU selected a small cross-section of the CSAC's units in order to ensure they maintained accurate and timely leave accounting records. Additionally, the CRU reviewed a selection of the CSAC employees who used Administrative Time Off (ATO) in order to ensure that ATO was appropriately administered. Further, the CRU reviewed a selection of CSAC positive paid employees whose hours are tracked during the compliance review period in order to ensure that they adhered to procedural requirements.

_

⁵If an employee organization requests the SPB to review any personal services contract during the SPB compliance review period or prior to the completion of the final compliance review report, the SPB will not audit the contract. Instead, the SPB will review the contract pursuant to its statutory and regulatory process. In this instance, none of the reviewed PSC's were challenged.

During the compliance review period, the CSAC did not have any employees with non-qualifying pay period transactions.

Moreover, the CRU reviewed the CSAC's policies and processes concerning nepotism, workers' compensation, performance appraisals. The review was limited to whether the CSAC's policies and processes adhered to procedural requirements.

The CSAC declined an exit conference to explain and discuss the CRU's initial findings and recommendations. The CRU received and carefully reviewed the CSAC's written response on November 4, 2022, which is attached to this final compliance review report.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Examinations

Examinations to establish an eligible list must be competitive and of such character as fairly to test and determine the qualifications, fitness, and ability of competitors to perform the duties of the class of position for which he or she seeks appointment. (Gov. Code, § 18930.) Examinations may be assembled or unassembled, written or oral, or in the form of a demonstration of skills, or any combination of those tests. (Ibid.) The Board establishes minimum qualifications for determining the fitness and qualifications of employees for each class of position and for applicants for examinations. (Gov. Code, § 18931, subd. (a).) Within a reasonable time before the scheduled date for the examination, the designated appointing power shall announce or advertise the examination for the establishment of eligible lists. (Gov. Code, § 18933, subd. (a).) The advertisement shall contain such information as the date and place of the examination and the nature of the minimum qualifications. (*Ibid.*) Every applicant for examination shall file an application with the department or a designated appointing power as directed by the examination announcement. (Gov. Code, § 18934, subd. (a)(1).) The final earned rating of each person competing in any examination is to be determined by the weighted average of the earned ratings on all phases of the examination. (Gov. Code, § 18936.) Each competitor shall be notified in writing of the results of the examination when the employment list resulting from the examination is established. (Gov. Code, § 18938.5.)

During the period under review, April 1, 2021, through December 31, 2021, the CSAC conducted two examinations. The CRU reviewed those two examinations, which are listed below:

Classification	Exam Type	Exam Components	Final File Date	No. of Apps
CEA B, Chief Information Officer	Open	Statement of Qualifications ⁶	Until Filled	4
Staff Services Analyst (SSA) (General)	Open	Written ⁷	Continuous	9

IN COMPLIANCE	FINDING No. 1	EXAMINATIONS COMPLIED WITH CIVIL SERVICE LAWS
		AND BOARD RULES

The CRU reviewed two open examinations which the CSAC administered in order to create eligible lists from which to make appointments. The CSAC published and distributed examination bulletins containing the required information for all examinations. Applications received by the CSAC were accepted prior to the final filing date. Applicants were notified about the next phase of the examination process. After all phases of the examination process were completed, the score of each competitor was computed, and a list of eligible candidates was established. The examination results listed the names of all successful competitors arranged in order of the score received by rank. The CRU found no deficiencies in the examinations that the CSAC conducted during the compliance review period.

Permanent Withhold Actions

Departments are granted statutory authority to permit withhold of eligibles from lists based on specified criteria. (Gov. Code, § 18935.) Permanent appointments and promotions within the state civil service system shall be merit-based, ascertained by a competitive examination process. (Cal. Const., art. VII, § 1, subd. (b).) If a candidate for appointment is found not to satisfy the minimum qualifications, the appointing power shall provide written notice to the candidate, specifying which qualification(s) are not satisfied and the reason(s) why. The candidate shall have an opportunity to establish that s/he meets the qualifications. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 249.4, subd. (b).) If the candidate fails to respond, or fails to establish that s/he meets the minimum qualification(s), the candidate's name shall be removed from the eligibility list. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 249.4, subd. (b)(1),

in a job classification, assign scores and rank the competitors in a list.

SPB Compliance Review
California Student Aid Commission

⁶ In a Statement of Qualifications examination, applicants submit a written summary of their qualifications and experience related to a published list of desired qualifications. Raters, typically subject matter experts, evaluate the responses according to a predetermined rating scale designed to assess their ability to perform

⁷ A written examination is a testing procedure in which candidates' job-related knowledge and skills are assessed through the use of a variety of item formats. Written examinations are either objectively scored or subjectively scored.

(2)), (HR Manual, section 1105.) The appointing authority shall promptly notify the candidate in writing, and shall notify the candidate of his or her appeal rights. (*Ibid.*) A permanent withhold does not necessarily permanently restrict a candidate from retaking the examination for the same classification in the future; however, the appointing authority may place a withhold on the candidate's subsequent eligibility record if the candidate still does not meet the minimum qualifications or continues to be unsuitable. (HR Manual, Section 1105). State agency human resources offices are required to maintain specific withhold documentation for a period of five years. (*Ibid.*)

During the period under review, April 1, 2021, through December 31, 2021, the CSAC conducted four permanent withhold actions. The CRU reviewed the four permanent withhold actions, which are listed below:

Exam Title	Exam ID	Date List Eligibility Began	Date List Eligibility Ended	Reason Candidate Placed on Withhold
Associate Governmental Program Analyst (AGPA)	9PB04	2/16/21	5/21/21	Failed to Meet Minimum Qualifications (MQ)
AGPA	9PB04	2/11/21	6/1/21	Failed to Meet MQ's
Staff Services Manager I	9PB19	4/11/21	6/1/21	Failed to Meet MQ's
Staff Services Manager I	9PB19	3/15/21	10/12/21	Failed to Meet MQ's

IN COMPLIANCE	FINDING No. 2	PERMANENT WITHHOLD ACTIONS COMPLIED WITH CIVIL
		SERVICE LAWS AND BOARD RULES

The CRU found no deficiencies in the permanent withhold actions undertaken by the department during the compliance review period.

Appointments

In all cases not excepted or exempted by Article VII of the California Constitution, the appointing power must fill positions by appointment, including cases of transfers, reinstatements, promotions, and demotions in strict accordance with the Civil Service Act and Board rules. (Gov. Code, § 19050.) The hiring process for eligible candidates chosen for job interviews shall be competitive and be designed and administered to hire candidates who will be successful. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 250, subd. (b).) Interviews shall be conducted using job-related criteria. (*Ibid.*) Persons selected for appointment shall satisfy the minimum qualifications of the classification to which he or she is

appointed or have previously passed probation and achieved permanent status in that same classification. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 250, subd. (d).) While persons selected for appointment may meet some or most of the preferred or desirable qualifications, they are not required to meet all the preferred or desirable qualifications. (*Ibid.*) This section does not apply to intra-agency job reassignments. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 250, subd. (e).)

During the period under review, January 1, 2021, through September 30, 2021, the CSAC made 27 appointments. The CRU reviewed 11 of those appointments, which are listed below:

Classification	Appointment Type	Tenure	Time Base	No. of Appts.
AGPA	Certification List	Permanent	Full Time	1
Information Technology Supervisor II	Certification List	Permanent	Full Time	1
Management Services Technician	Certification List	Permanent	Full Time	1
Program Technician (PT) II	Certification List	Permanent	Full Time	1
SSA (General)	Certification List	Limited Term	Full Time	1
Staff Services Manager II (Supervisory)	Certification List	Permanent	Full Time	1
Supervising PT II	Certification List	Permanent	Full Time	1
PT II	Reinstatement	Permanent	Intermittent	1
AGPA	Transfer	Permanent	Full Time	1
PT II	Transfer	Permanent	Full Time	1
Senior Personnel Specialist	Transfer	Permanent	Full Time	1

SEVERITY:	FINDING No. 3	PROBATIONARY EVALUATIONS WERE NOT PROVIDED
SERIOUS		FOR ALL APPOINTMENTS REVIEWED

Summary: The CSAC did not provide 2 probationary reports of performance for 1 of the 11 appointments reviewed by the CRU.

Classification Appointment Type		Number of Appointments	Total Number of Missing Probation Reports	
Management Services Technician	Certification List	1	2	

Criteria:

The service of a probationary period is required when an employee enters or is promoted in the state civil service by permanent appointment from an employment list; upon reinstatement after a break in continuity of service resulting from a permanent separation; or after any other type of appointment situation not specifically excepted from the probationary period. (Gov. Code, § 19171.) During the probationary period, the appointing power shall evaluate the work and efficiency of a probationer in the manner and at such periods as the department rules may require. (Gov. Code, § 19172.) A report of the probationer's performance shall be made to the employee at sufficiently frequent intervals to keep the employee adequately informed of progress on the job. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 599.795.) A written appraisal of performance shall be made to the Department within 10 days after the end of each one-third portion of the probationary period. (*Ibid.*) The Board's record retention rules require that appointing powers retain all probationary reports for five years from the date the record is created. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 26, subd. (a)(3).)

Severity:

Serious. The probationary period is the final step in the selection process to ensure that the individual selected can successfully perform the full scope of their job duties. Failing to use the probationary period to assist an employee in improving his or her performance or terminating the appointment upon determination that the appointment is not a good job/person match is unfair to the employee and serves to erode the quality of state government.

Cause:

The CSAC has not regularly communicated the importance of completing performance evaluations to department managers and supervisors; nor has it adequately enforced the completion of performance appraisals that are not submitted timely.

Corrective Action: Within 90 days of the date of this report, the CSAC must submit to the SPB a written corrective action response which addresses the corrections the department will implement to ensure conformity with Government Code section 19172. Copies of relevant documentation demonstrating that the corrective action has been implemented must be included with the corrective action response.

Equal Employment Opportunity

Each state agency is responsible for an effective EEO program. (Gov. Code, § 19790.) The appointing power for each state agency has the major responsibility for monitoring the effectiveness of its EEO program. (Gov. Code, § 19794.) To that end, the appointing power must issue a policy statement committed to EEO; issue procedures for filing, processing, and resolving discrimination complaints; and cooperate with the CalHR, in accordance with Civil Code section 1798.24, subdivisions (o) and (p), by providing access to all required files, documents and data necessary to carry out these mandates. (*Ibid.*) In addition, the appointing power must appoint, at the managerial level, an EEO Officer, who shall report directly to, and be under the supervision of, the director of the department to develop, implement, coordinate, and monitor the department's EEO program. (Gov. Code, § 19795, subd. (a).)

Each state agency must establish a separate committee of employees who are individuals with a disability, or who have an interest in disability issues, to advise the head of the agency on issues of concern to employees with disabilities. (Gov. Code, § 19795, subd. (b)(1).) The department must invite all employees to serve on the committee and take appropriate steps to ensure that the final committee is comprised of members who have disabilities or who have an interest in disability issues. (Gov. Code, § 19795, subd. (b)(2).)

IN COMPLIANCE	FINDING No. 4	EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY PROGRAM
		COMPLIED WITH ALL CIVIL SERVICE LAWS AND BOARD
		Rules

After reviewing the policies, procedures, and programs necessary for compliance with the EEO program's role and responsibilities according to statutory and regulatory guidelines, the CRU determined that the CSAC's EEO program provided employees with information and guidance on the EEO process including instructions on how to file discrimination claims. Furthermore, the EEO program outlines the roles and responsibilities of the EEO Officer, as well as supervisors and managers. The EEO Officer, who is at a managerial level, reports directly to the Executive Director of the CSAC. The CSAC also provided evidence of its efforts to promote EEO in its hiring and employment practices and to increase its hiring of persons with a disability.

Personal Services Contracts

A PSC includes any contract, requisition, or purchase order under which labor or personal services is a significant, separately identifiable element, and the business or person performing the services is an independent contractor that does not have status as an

employee of the state. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 547.59.) The California Constitution has an implied civil service mandate limiting the state's authority to contract with private entities to perform services the state has historically or customarily performed. Government Code section 19130, subdivision (a), however, codifies exceptions to the civil service mandate where PSC's achieve cost savings for the state. PSC's that are of a type enumerated in subdivision (b) of Government Code section 19130 are also permissible. Subdivision (b) contracts include, but are not limited to, private contracts for a new state function, services that are not available within state service, services that are incidental to a contract for the purchase or lease of real or personal property, and services that are of an urgent, temporary, or occasional nature.

For cost-savings PSC's, a state agency is required to notify SPB of its intent to execute such a contract. (Gov. Code, § 19131.) For subdivision (b) contracts, the SPB reviews the adequacy of the proposed or executed contract at the request of an employee organization representing state employees. (Gov. Code, § 19132.)

During the period under review, April 1, 2021, through December 31, 2021, the CSAC had 18 PSC's that were in effect. The CRU reviewed 13 of those, which are listed below:

Vendor	Services	Contract Date(s)	Contract Amount	Justification Identified?	Union Notification?
A1 Distributing	Vending Machine Removal	9/10/21 – 9/30/21	\$555	Yes	Yes
Avantpage Inc.	Telephone Translation	12/1/17 – 6/30/21	\$23,000	Yes	Yes
Celer Systems	Web Developer/ Visual Design Consultant	12/23/20 – 12/30/22	\$747,950	Yes	Yes
C&G Technology	Senior Program- mer Consultant	3/15/21 – 3/15/22	\$499,900	Yes	Yes
Resourznet Solutions	Database Administra- tor	6/30/20 – 6/30/22	\$235,750	Yes	Yes
Resourznet Solutions	Testing Services Consultant	12/30/20 – 12/30/22	\$513,350	Yes	Yes

Vendor	Services	Contract Date(s)	Contract Amount	Justification Identified?	Union Notification?
Royal Electric Company	Uninterrupt- ed Power Supply Demo	12/15/21 – 4/15/22	\$2,350	Yes	Yes
Runyon Saltzman INC	Streaming Ads	7/30/21 – 9/30/21	\$9,999	Yes	Yes
Supinger Strategies	Program Consultant	1/20/22 – 9/20/22	\$125,000	Yes	Yes
Supinger Strategies	Higher Education Consultant	10/15/21 – 12/1/21	\$10,000	Yes	Yes
Uptown Studios	Marketing & Outreach	6/30/21 – 6/30/22	\$245,300	Yes	Yes
xFusion Technologies	Business Analyst Consultant	4/1/21 – 4/1/22	\$160,200	Yes	Yes
3Fold Communications	Social Media Ads	6/14/21 – 8/14/21	\$9,999	Yes	Yes

IN COMPLIANCE	FINDING No. 5	PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACTS COMPLIED WITH
		PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS

The total dollar amount of all the PSC's reviewed was \$2,583,352.99. It was beyond the scope of the review to make conclusions as to whether CSAC justifications for the contract were legally sufficient. For all PSC's reviewed, the CSAC provided specific and detailed factual information in the written justifications as to how each of the contracts met at least one condition set forth in Government Code section 19130, subdivision (b). Additionally, CSAC complied with proper notification to all organizations that represent state employees who perform the type or work contracted. Accordingly, the CSAC PSC's complied with civil service laws and board rules.

Mandated Training

Each member, officer, or designated employee of a state agency who is required to file a statement of economic interest (referred to as "filers") because of the position he or she holds with the agency is required to take an orientation course on the relevant ethics statutes and regulations that govern the official conduct of state officials. (Gov. Code, §§ 11146.1.) State agencies are required to offer filers the orientation course on a semi-annual basis. (Gov. Code, § 11146.1.) New filers must be trained within six months

of appointment and at least once during each consecutive period of two calendar years, commencing on the first odd-numbered year thereafter. (Gov. Code, § 11146.3.)

Upon the initial appointment of any employee designated in a supervisory position, the employee shall be provided a minimum of 80 hours of training, as prescribed by the CalHR. (Gov. Code, § 19995.4, subd. (b).) The training addresses such topics as the role of the supervisor, techniques of supervision, performance standards, and sexual harassment and abusive conduct prevention. (Gov. Code, §§ 12950.1, subds. (a), and (b), & 19995.4, subd. (b).) Additionally, the training must be successfully completed within the term of the employee's probationary period or within six months of the initial appointment, unless it is demonstrated that to do so creates additional costs or that the training cannot be completed during this time period due to limited availability of supervisory training courses. (Gov. Code, § 19995.4, subd. (c).)

Within 12 months of the initial appointment of an employee to a management or Career Executive Assignment (CEA) position, the employee shall be provided leadership training and development, as prescribed by CalHR. (Gov. Code, § 19995.4, subds. (d) & (e).) For management employees the training must be a minimum of 40 hours and for CEAs the training must be a minimum of 20 hours. (*Ibid.*) Thereafter, for both categories of appointment, the employee must be provided a minimum of 20 hours of leadership training on a biennial basis. (*Ibid.*)

New employees must be provided sexual harassment prevention training within six months of appointment. Thereafter, each department must provide its supervisors two hours of sexual harassment prevention training and non-supervisors one hour of sexual harassment prevention training every two years. (Gov. Code, § 12950.1, subds. (a) and (b); Gov. Code, § 19995.4.)

The Board may conduct reviews of any appointing power's personnel practices to ensure compliance with civil service laws and Board regulations. (Gov. Code, § 18661, subd. (a).) In particular, the Board may audit personnel practices related to such matters as selection and examination procedures, appointments, promotions, the management of probationary periods, and any other area related to the operation of the merit principle in state civil service. (*Ibid.*) Accordingly, the CRU reviews documents and records related to training that appointing powers are required by the afore-cited laws to provide its employees.

The CRU reviewed the CSAC's mandated training program that was in effect during the compliance review period, January 1, 2020, through December 31, 2021.

SEVERITY: VERY SERIOUS	FINDING No. 6 ETHICS TRAINING WAS NOT PROVIDED FOR ALL FILERS
Summary:	The CSAC did not provide ethics training to 10 of 35 existing filers. In addition, the CSAC did not provide ethics training to 3 of 11 new filers within six months of their appointment.
Criteria:	New filers must be provided ethics training within six months of appointment. Existing filers must be trained at least once during each consecutive period of two calendar years commencing on the first odd-numbered year thereafter. (Gov. Code, § 11146.3, subd. (b).)
Severity:	<u>Very Serious</u> . The department does not ensure that its filers are aware of prohibitions related to their official position and influence.
Cause:	The CSAC did not adequately enforce the ethics training requirements.
Corrective Actio	n: Within 90 days of this report, the CSAC must submit to the SPB a written correction action response which addresses the corrections the department will implement to demonstrate conformity with Government Code section 11146.3. Copies of relevant documentation demonstrating that the corrective action has been implemented must be included with the corrective action response.
SEVERITY: VERY SERIOUS	FINDING No. 7 SEXUAL HARASSMENT PREVENTION TRAINING WAS NOT PROVIDED FOR ALL EMPLOYEES
Summary:	The CSAC did not provide sexual harassment prevention training to 5 of 9 new supervisors within 6 months of their appointment. In addition, the CSAC did not provide sexual harassment prevention training to 3 of 20 existing supervisors every 2 years. This is the second consecutive time this has been a finding for the CSAC.
	Additionally, the CSAC did not provide sexual harassment prevention training to 15 of 101 non-supervisors every 2 years.
Criteria:	Each department must provide its supervisors two hours of sexual harassment prevention training every two years and non-supervisory employees one hour of sexual harassment prevention training every two years. New employees must be provided sexual harassment

prevention training within six months of appointment. (Gov. Code, § 12950.1, subds. (a) and (b); Gov. Code § 19995.4.)

Severity:

Very Serious. The department does not ensure that all new and existing employees are properly trained to respond to sexual harassment or unwelcome sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, and other verbal or physical harassment of a sexual nature. This limits the department's ability to retain a quality workforce, impacts employee morale and productivity, and subjects the department to litigation.

Cause:

The CSAC did not adequately enforce the timely completion of sexual harassment prevention training requirements.

Corrective Action: Within 90 days of the date of this report, the CSAC must submit to the SPB a written corrective action response which addresses the corrections the department will implement to ensure that all employees are provided sexual harassment prevention training in accordance with Government Code section 12950.1. Copies of relevant documentation demonstrating that the corrective action has been implemented must be included with the corrective action response.

SEVERITY:
VERY SERIOUS

SUPERVISORY TRAINING WAS NOT PROVIDED FOR ALL FINDING No. 8 SUPERVISORS, MANAGERS, AND CEAS

Summary:

The CSAC did not provide basic supervisory training to 2 of 5 new supervisors within 12 months of appointment; did not provide CEA training to either of its 2 new CEAs within 12 months of appointment: and did not provide biennial leadership training to 11 of 12 existing supervisors, managers, and/or CEAs. This is the second consecutive time this has been a finding for the CSAC.

Criteria:

Each department must provide its new supervisors a minimum of 80 hours of supervisory training within the probationary period. Upon completion of the initial training, supervisory employees shall receive a minimum 20 hours of leadership training biennially. (Gov. Code, § 19995.4, subds. (b) and (c.).)

Upon initial appointment of an employee to a managerial position, each employee must receive 40 hours of leadership training within 12 months of appointment. Thereafter, the employee shall receive a minimum of 20 hours of leadership training biennially. (Gov. Code, § 19995.4, subd. (d).)

Upon initial appointment of an employee to a Career Executive Assignment position, each employee must receive 20 hours of leadership training within 12 months of appointment. Thereafter, the employee shall receive a minimum of 20 hours of leadership training biennially. (Gov. Code, § 19995.4, subd. (e).)

Severity:

Very Serious. The department does not ensure its leaders are properly trained. Without proper training, leaders may not properly carry out their leadership roles, including managing employees.

Cause:

The CSAC did not adequately enforce the supervisory training requirements.

Corrective Action: Within 90 days of the date of this report, the CSAC must submit to the SPB a written corrective action response which addresses the corrections the department will implement to ensure that new supervisors are provided supervisory training within twelve months of appointment as required by Government Code section 19995.4. Copies of relevant documentation demonstrating that the corrective action has been implemented must be included with the corrective action response.

Compensation and Pay

Salary Determination

The pay plan for state civil service consists of salary ranges and steps established by CalHR. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 599.666.) Several salary rules dictate how departments calculate and determine an employee's salary rate⁸ upon appointment depending on the appointment type, the employee's state employment and pay history, and tenure.

⁸ "Rate" is any one of the salary rates in the resolution by CalHR which establishes the salary ranges and steps of the Pay Plan (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, section 599.666).

Typically, agencies appoint employees to the minimum rate of the salary range for the class. Special provisions for appointments above the minimum exist to meet special recruitment needs and to accommodate employees who transfer into a class from another civil service class and are already receiving salaries above the minimum.

During the period under review, January 1, 2021, through September 30, 2021, the CSAC made 27 appointments. The CRU reviewed 10 of those appointments to determine if the CSAC applied salary regulations accurately and correctly processed employees' compensation, which are listed below:

Classification	Appointment Type	Tenure	Time Base	Salary (Monthly Rate)
AGPA	Certification List	Permanent	Full Time	\$4,495
Information Technology Supervisor II	Certification List	Permanent	Full Time	\$7,051
Management Services Technician	Certification List	Permanent	Full Time	\$3,460
PT II	Certification List	Permanent	Full Time	\$4,281
SSA (General)	Certification List	Limited Term	Full Time	\$3,148
Staff Services Manager II (Supervisory)	Certification List	Permanent	Full Time	\$7,784
Supervising PT II	Certification List	Permanent	Full Time	\$4,142
AGPA	Transfer	Permanent	Full Time	\$6,739
PT II	Transfer	Permanent	Full Time	\$3,945
Senior Personnel Specialist	Transfer	Permanent	Full Time	\$6,033

IN COMPLIANCE	FINDING No. 9	SALARY DETERMINATIONS COMPLIED WITH CIVIL
		SERVICE LAWS, BOARD RULES, AND CALHR POLICIES
		AND GUIDELINES

The CRU found no deficiencies in the salary determinations that were reviewed. The CSAC appropriately calculated and keyed the salaries for each appointment and correctly determined employees' anniversary dates ensuring that subsequent merit salary adjustments will satisfy civil service laws, Board rules and CalHR policies and guidelines.

Exceptions to Salary

California Code of Regulations sections 599.674 and 599.676 allow employees to receive a salary rate up to one step (5%) above the salary rate they last received. In those instances when these rules do not provide employees with the equivalent rate last received (1) upon transfer to a deep class or (2) in their former class, then under the authority of Government Code section 19836, an exception to these salary rules can be made. Exceptions to these rules should be applied uniformly for all employees. (Classification and Pay Guide Section 285.)

For those affected employees incurring salary loss upon transfer to a deep class, CalHR recommends placing the employee on a T&D Assignment for a period of time sufficient to meet the higher alternate range criteria. Upon successful completion of the T&D assignment, the employee may be transferred to the transferable range, and then moved to the next higher alternate range effective the same day. If this does not provide the employee their current salary, departments may process an exception so the employee does not incur a salary loss. (*Ibid.*)

Delegation agreements with CalHR give departments the delegated authority to approve an exception to the salary rules under the following circumstances: when there is a salary loss upon transfer to a deep class; when there is a reappointment or reinstatement without a break in service.

During the period under review, January 1, 2021, through September 30, 2021, the CSAC authorized one salary exception requests. The CRU reviewed that one authorized salary exception request, listed below, to determine if the CSAC correctly verified, approved and documented the salary exception authorization process:

Classification	Prior Classification	T&D Assignment? (Y/N)	Approved Salary
PT II	PT II	No	\$4,124

In COMPLIANCE	FINDING NO.	EXCEPTIONS TO SALARY RULES COMPLIED WITH CIVIL SERVICE LAWS, BOARD RULES, AND CALHR POLICIES
		AND GUIDELINES

The CRU found that the exception to salary determinations the CSAC made during the compliance review period, satisfied civil service laws, Board rules and CalHR policies and guidelines.

Alternate Range Movement Salary Determination (within same classification)

If an employee qualifies under established criteria and moves from one alternate range to another alternate range of a class, the employee shall receive an increase or a decrease equivalent to the total of the range differential between the maximum salary rates of the alternate ranges. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 599.681.) However, in many instances, the CalHR provides salary rules departments must use when employees move between alternate ranges. These rules are described in the alternate range criteria. (CalHR Pay Scales). When no salary rule or method is cited in the alternate range criteria, departments must default to Rule 599.681.

During the period under review, January 1, 2021, through September 30, 2021, the CSAC employees made four alternate range movements within a classification. The CRU reviewed those four alternate range movements to determine if the CSAC applied salary regulations accurately and correctly processed each employee's compensation, which are listed below:

Classification	Prior Range	Current Range	Time Base	Salary (Monthly Rate)
Information Technology Specialist I	В	С	Full Time	\$8,999
Information Technology Specialist I	Α	В	Full Time	\$8,183
SSA (General)	Α	В	Full Time	\$4,018
Personnel Specialist	С	D	Full Time	\$4,515

IN COMPLIANCE	FINDING NO.	ALTERNATIVE RANGE MOVEMENTS COMPLIED WITH
	11	CIVIL SERVICE LAWS, BOARD RULES, AND CALHR
		POLICIES AND GUIDELINES

The CRU determined that the alternate range movements the CSAC made during the compliance review period, satisfied civil service laws, Board rules and CalHR policies and quidelines.

Hiring Above Minimum Requests

The CalHR may authorize payment at any step above the minimum limit to classes or positions to meet recruiting problems, or to obtain a person who has extraordinary qualifications. (Gov. Code, § 19836.) For all employees new to state service, departments are delegated to approve HAMs for extraordinary qualifications. (Human Resources Manual Section 1707.) Appointing authorities may request HAMs for current state employees with extraordinary qualifications. (*Ibid.*) Delegated HAM authority does not apply to current state employees. (*Ibid.*)

Extraordinary qualifications may provide expertise in a particular area of a department's program. (*Ibid.*) This expertise should be well beyond the minimum qualifications of the class. (*Ibid.*) Unique talent, ability or skill as demonstrated by previous job experience may also constitute extraordinary qualifications. (*Ibid.*) The scope and depth of such experience should be more significant than its length. (*Ibid.*) The degree to which a candidate exceeds minimum qualifications should be a guiding factor, rather than a determining one. (*Ibid.*) The qualifications and hiring rates of state employees already in the same class should be carefully considered, since questions of salary equity may arise if new higher entry rates differ from previous ones. (*Ibid.*) Recruitment difficulty is a factor to the extent that a specific extraordinary skill should be difficult to recruit, even though some applicants are qualified in the general skills of the class. (*Ibid.*)

If the provisions of this section are in conflict with the provisions of a memorandum of understanding reached pursuant to Government Code section 3517.5, the memorandum of understanding shall be controlling without further legislative action.⁹ (Gov. Code, § 19836, subd. (b).)

Appointing authorities may request and approve HAMs for former legislative employees who are appointed to a civil service class and received eligibility for appointment pursuant to Government Code section 18990. (Human Resources Manual Section 1707.) The salary received upon appointment to civil service shall be in accordance with the salary rules specified in the California Code of Regulations. (*Ibid.*) A salary determination is completed comparing the maximum salary rate of the former legislative class and the maximum salary rate of the civil service class to determine applicable salary and anniversary regulation. (*Ibid.*) Typically, the legislative employees are compensated at a higher rate of pay; therefore, they will be allowed to retain the rate they last received, not to exceed the maximum of the civil service class. (*Ibid.*)

Appointing authorities may request/approve HAMs for former exempt employees appointed to a civil service class. (Human Resources Manual Section 1707.) The salary received upon appointment to civil service shall be competitive with the employee's salary in the exempt appointment. (*Ibid.*) For example, an employee appointed to a civil service class which is preceded by an exempt appointment may be appointed at a salary rate comparable to the exempt appointment up to the maximum of the salary range for the civil service class. (*Ibid.*)

-

⁹ Except that if the provisions of the memorandum of understanding requires the expenditure of funds, the provisions shall not become effective unless approved by the Legislature in the annual Budget Act.

During the period under review, January 1, 2021, through September 30, 2021, the CSAC authorized one HAM request. The CRU reviewed that authorized HAM request to determine if the CSAC correctly applied Government Code section 19836 and appropriately verified, approved and documented candidates' extraordinary qualifications, which are listed below:

Classification	Appointment Type	Status	Salary Range	Salary (Monthly Rate)
Information Technology Specialist I	Reinstatement	Permanent	\$6,715 – \$8,999	\$8,999

IN COMPLIANCE	FINDING NO. 12	HIRE ABOVE MINIMUM REQUEST COMPLIED WITH CIVIL SERVICE LAWS, BOARD RULES, AND CALHR POLICIES
		AND GUIDELINES

The CRU found that the HAM requests the CSAC made during the compliance review period, satisfied civil service laws, Board rules and CalHR policies and guidelines.

Bilingual Pay

A certified bilingual position is a position where the incumbent uses bilingual skills on a continuous basis and averages 10 percent or more of the total time worked. According to the Pay Differential 14, the 10 percent time standard is calculated based on the time spent conversing, interpreting, or transcribing in a second language and time spent on closely related activities performed directly in conjunction with the specific bilingual transactions.

Typically, the department must review the position duty statement to confirm the percentage of time performing bilingual skills and verify the monthly pay differential is granted to a certified bilingual employee in a designated bilingual position. The position, not the employee, receives the bilingual designation and the department must verify that the incumbent successfully participated in an Oral Fluency Examination prior to issuing the additional pay.

During the period under review, January 1, 2021, through September 30, 2021, the CSAC issued bilingual pay to six employees. The CRU reviewed those six bilingual pay authorizations to ensure compliance with applicable CalHR policies and guidelines. These are listed below:

Classification	Bargaining Unit	Time Base	No. of Appts.
AGPA	R01	Full Time	4
PT II	R04	Full Time	1
SSA (General)	R01	Full Time	1

In Compliance	FINDING NO.	BILINGUAL PAY AUTHORIZATIONS COMPLIED WITH CIVIL SERVICE LAWS, BOARD RULES, AND CALHR POLICIES
		AND GUIDELINES

The CRU found that the bilingual pay authorized to employees during the compliance review period, satisfied civil service laws, Board rules and CalHR policies and guidelines.

Leave

Positive Paid Employees

Actual Time Worked (ATW) is a method that can be used to keep track of a Temporary Authorization Utilization (TAU) employee's time to ensure that the Constitutional limit of 9 months in any 12 consecutive months is not exceeded. The ATW method of counting time is used in order to continue the employment status for an employee until the completion of an examination, for seasonal type work, while attending school, or for consulting services.

An employee is appointed TAU-ATW when he/she is not expected to work all of the working days of a month. When counting 189 days, every day worked, including partial days¹⁰ worked and paid absences¹¹, are counted. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 265.1, subd. (b).) The hours worked in one day is not limited by this rule. (*Ibid.*) The 12-consecutive month timeframe begins by counting the first pay period worked as the first month of the 12-consecutive month timeframe. (*Ibid.*) The employee shall serve no longer than 189 days in a 12 consecutive month period. (*Ibid.*) A new 189-days working limit in a 12-consecutive month timeframe may begin in the month immediately following the month that marks the end of the previous 12-consecutive month timeframe. (*Ibid.*)

It is an ATW appointment because the employee does not work each workday of the month, and it might become desirable or necessary for the employee to work beyond nine calendar months. The appointing power shall monitor and control the days worked to

_

¹⁰ For example, two hours or ten hours count as one day.

¹¹ For example, vacation, sick leave, compensating time off, etc.

ensure the limitations set forth are not exceeded. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 265.1, subd. (f).)

For student assistants, graduate student assistants, youth aides, and seasonal classifications a maximum work-time limit of 1500 hours within 12 consecutive months may be used rather than the 189-day calculation. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 265.1, subd. (d).)

Generally, permanent intermittent employees may work up to 1500 hours in any calendar year. (Applicable Bargaining Unit Agreements.) However, Bargaining Unit 6 employees may work up to 2000 hours in any calendar year.

Additionally, according to Government Code section 21224, retired annuitant appointments shall not exceed a maximum of 960 hours in any fiscal year (July-June), regardless of the number of state employers, without reinstatement, loss or interruption of benefits.

At the time of the review, the CSAC had five positive paid employees whose hours were tracked. The CRU reviewed those five positive paid appointments to ensure compliance with applicable laws, regulations, policies and guidelines, which are listed below:

Classification	Tenure	Time Frame	Hours Worked
AGPA	Intermittent	7/1/20 - 6/30/21	487 hours
AGPA	Intermittent	7/1/20 – 6/30/21	809 hours
Attorney III	Intermittent	7/1/20 – 6/30/21	566 hours
CEA	Intermittent	7/1/20 – 6/30/21	712 hours
Information Technology Specialist I	Intermittent	7/1/20 – 6/30/21	1,187 hours

	T =	
IN COMPLIANCE	FINDING NO.	Positive Paid Employees' Tracked Hours
	14	COMPLIED WITH CIVIL SERVICE LAWS, BOARD RULES,
		AND/OR CALHR POLICIES AND GUIDELINES

The CRU found no deficiencies in the positive paid employees reviewed during the compliance review period. The CSAC provided sufficient justification and adhered to applicable laws, regulations and CalHR policy and guidelines for positive paid employees.

Administrative Time Off

ATO is a form of paid administrative leave status initiated by appointing authorities for a variety of reasons. (Human Resources Manual Section 2121.) Most often, ATO is used

when an employee cannot come to work because of a pending investigation, fitness for duty evaluation, or when work facilities are unavailable. (*Ibid.*) ATO can also be granted when employees need time off for reasons such as blood or organ donation, extreme weather preventing safe travel to work, states of emergency, voting, and when employees need time off to attend special events. (*Ibid.*)

During the period under review, October 1, 2020, through September 30, 2021, the CSAC authorized 62 ATO transactions. The CRU reviewed 20 of these ATO transactions to ensure compliance with applicable laws, regulations, and CalHR policy and guidelines, which are listed below:

Classification	Time Frame	Amount of Time on ATO
AGPA	10/26/20	1 hour
AGPA	11/20/20	1 hour
AGPA	11/30/20 - 12/1/20	16 hours
AGPA	4/14/21 - 4/15/21	12 hours
AGPA	5/20/21	2 hours
AGPA	7/8/21 – 79/21	13 hours
Associate Management Auditor	3/2/21 - 3/15/21	80 hours
Associate Management Auditor	9/16/21	2 hours
Information Tech Specialist I	8/11/21 – 8/12/21	16 hours
Information Tech Specialist II	1/4/21 – 1/5/21	16 hours
Office Technician (Typing)	8/16/21 - 8/27/21	80 hours
Personnel Specialist	12/1/20	2 hours
PT II	12/7/20 - 12/17/20	72 hours
PT II	4/19/21 – 4/20/21	16 hours
PT II	4/22/21	2 hours
PT II	5/20/21 - 5/21/21	8.75
Research Data Specialist I	3/22/21	2 hours
Senior Accounting Officer Specialist	5/10/21 - 5/14/21	31.5 hours
SSA (General)	4/19/21 – 4/30-21	76 hours
Staff Services Manager I	9/3/21 – 9/13/21	46 hours

IN COMPLIANCE	FINDING NO.	ADMINISTRATIVE TIME OFF AUTHORIZATIONS COMPLIED
	15	WITH CIVIL SERVICE LAWS, BOARD RULES, AND/OR
		CALHR POLICIES AND GUIDELINES

The CRU found no deficiencies in the ATO transactions reviewed during the compliance review period. The CSAC provided the proper documentation justifying the use of ATO and adhered to applicable laws, regulations and CalHR policy and guidelines.

Leave Auditing and Timekeeping

Departments must keep complete and accurate time and attendance records for each employee and officer employed within the agency over which it has jurisdiction. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 599.665.)

Departments are directed to create a monthly internal audit process to verify all leave input into any leave accounting system is keyed accurately and timely. (Human Resources Manual Section 2101.) Departments shall create an audit process to review and correct leave input errors on a monthly basis. The review of leave accounting records shall be completed by the pay period following the pay period in which the leave was keyed into the leave accounting system. (*Ibid.*) If an employee's attendance record is determined to have errors or it is determined that the employee has insufficient balances for a leave type used, the attendance record must be amended. (*Ibid.*) Attendance records shall be corrected by the pay period following the pay period in which the error occurred. (*Ibid.*) Accurate and timely attendance reporting is required of all departments and is subject to audit. (*Ibid.*)

During the period under review, July 1, 2021, through September 30, 2021, the CSAC reported seven units comprised of 122 active employees. The pay periods and timesheets reviewed by the CRU are summarized below:

Timesheet Leave Period	Unit Reviewed	Number of Employees	Number of Timesheets Reviewed	Number of Missing Timesheets
July-21	731	1	1	0
August-21	730	18	18	0
August-21	734	19	19	0
September-21	732	3	3	0
September-21	733	2	2	0

SEVERITY:	FINDING NO.	DEPARTMENT HAS NOT IMPLEMENTED A MONTHLY
SERIOUS	16	INTERNAL AUDIT PROCESS TO VERIFY ALL LEAVE INPUT
		IS KEYED ACCURATELY AND TIMELY

Summary:

The CSAC failed to implement a monthly internal audit process to verify all timesheets were keyed accurately and timely and to certify that all leave records have been reviewed and corrected if necessary. This is the second consecutive time this has been a finding for the CSAC.

Criteria:

Each appointing power shall keep complete and accurate time and attendance records for each employee and officer employed within the agency over which it has jurisdiction. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 599.665.) Departments are directed to create an audit process to verify all leave input is keyed accurately and timely. (Human Resources Manual Section 2101.) Departments shall identify and record all errors found and shall certify that all leave records for the unit/pay period identified have been reviewed and all leave errors identified have been corrected. (Ibid.) Attendance records shall be corrected by the pay period following the pay period in which the error occurred. (Ibid.)

Severity:

Serious. Departments must document that they reviewed all leave inputted into their leave accounting system to ensure accuracy and timeliness. Failure to audit leave could put the department at risk of incurring additional costs from the initiation of collection efforts from overpayments and the risk of liability related to recovering inappropriately credited leave hours and funds.

Cause:

Due to a vacancy within human resources and workload challenges arising from the COVID-19 pandemic, the CSAC conducted monthly informal leave audits; however, completion of the Leave Activity and Correction Certification forms were not conducted.

Corrective Action: Within 90 days of the date of this report, the CSAC must submit to the SPB a written corrective action response which addresses the corrections the department will implement to ensure that their monthly internal audit process was documented and that all leave input is keyed accurately and timely. Copies of relevant documentation demonstrating that the corrective action has been implemented must be included with the corrective action response.

Policy and Processes

Nepotism

It is the policy of the State of California to recruit, hire and assign all employees on the basis of merit and fitness in accordance with civil service statutes, rules and regulations. (Human Resources Manual Section 1204.) Nepotism is expressly prohibited in the state workplace because it is antithetical to California's merit based civil service. (Ibid.)

Nepotism is defined as the practice of an employee using his or her influence or power to aid or hinder another in the employment setting because of a personal relationship. (*Ibid.*) Personal relationships for this purpose include association by blood, adoption, marriage and/or cohabitation. (*Ibid.*) All department nepotism policies should emphasize that nepotism is antithetical to a merit-based personnel system and that the department is committed to the state policy of recruiting, hiring and assigning employees on the basis of merit. (*Ibid.*)

IN COMPLIANCE	FINDING NO.	NEPOTISM POLICY COMPLIED WITH CIVIL SERVICE
	17	LAWS, BOARD RULES, AND/OR CALHR POLICIES AND
		Guidelines

The CRU verified that the policy was disseminated to all staff and emphasized the CSAC's commitment to the state policy of recruiting, hiring and assigning employees on the basis of merit. Additionally, the CSAC's nepotism policy was comprised of specific and sufficient components intended to prevent favoritism, or bias, based on a personal relationship from unduly influencing employment decisions.

Workers' Compensation

Employers shall provide to every new employee, either at the time of hire or by the end of the first pay period, written notice concerning the rights, benefits, and obligations under workers' compensation law. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 8, § 9880, subd. (a).) This notice shall include the right to predesignate their personal physician or medical group; a form that the employee may use as an optional method for notifying the employer of the name of employee's "personal physician," as defined by Labor Code section 4600. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 8, § 9880, subd. (c)(7) & (8).) Additionally, within one working day of receiving notice or knowledge that the employee has suffered a work-related injury or illness, employers shall provide a claim form and notice of potential eligibility for benefits to the injured employee. (Labor Code, § 5401, subd. (a).)

Public employers may choose to extend workers' compensation coverage to volunteers that perform services for the organization. (Human Resources Manual Section 1415.) Workers' compensation coverage is not mandatory for volunteers as it is for employees. (*Ibid.*) This is specific to the legally uninsured state departments participating in the Master Agreement. (*Ibid.*) Departments with an insurance policy for workers' compensation coverage should contact their State Compensation Insurance Fund (State Fund) office to discuss the status of volunteers. (*Ibid.*)

IN COMPLIANCE	FINDING NO.	WORKERS' COMPENSATION PROCESS COMPLIED WITH
	18	CIVIL SERVICE LAWS, BOARD RULES, AND/OR CALHR
		POLICIES AND GUIDELINES

The CRU verified that the CSAC provides notice to their employees to inform them of their rights and responsibilities under California's Workers' Compensation Law. Furthermore, the CRU verified that when the CSAC received workers' compensation claims, they properly provided claim forms within one working day of notice or knowledge of injury.

Performance Appraisals

According to Government Code section 19992.2, subdivision (a), appointing powers must "prepare performance reports." Furthermore, California Code of Regulations, title 2, section 599.798, directs supervisors to conduct written performance appraisals and discuss overall work performance with permanent employees at least once in each twelve calendar months after the completion of the employee's probationary period.

The CRU selected 19 permanent CSAC employees to ensure that the department was conducting performance appraisals on an annual basis in accordance with applicable laws, regulations, policies and guidelines.

SEVERITY:	FINDING NO.	PERFORMANCE APPRAISALS WERE NOT PROVIDED TO
SERIOUS	19	ALL EMPLOYEES
Summary:	employees probationary	did not provide annual performance appraisals to 9 of 19 reviewed after the completion of the employee's y period. This is the second consecutive time this has ng for the CSAC.
Criteria:	on file as p subd. (a).) E shall make employee o calendar mo	cowers shall prepare performance reports and keep them prescribed by department rule. (Gov. Code, § 19992.2, Each supervisor, as designated by the appointing power, an appraisal in writing and shall discuss with the overall work performance at least once in each twelve onths following the end of the employee's probationary. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 599.798.)
Severity:		e department does not ensure that all of its employees ed of work performance issues and/or goals in a manner.

Cause: The CSAC has not regularly communicated the importance

completing and filing performance appraisals and tracking

performance appraisals that were not completed timely.

Corrective Action: Within 90 days of the date of this report, the CSAC must submit to

the SPB a written corrective action response which addresses the corrections the department will implement to ensure conformity with Government Code section 19992.2 and California Code of Regulations, title 2, section 599.798. Copies of relevant documentation demonstrating that the corrective action has been implemented must be included with the corrective action response.

DEPARTMENTAL RESPONSE

The CSAC's departmental response is attached as Attachment 1.

SPB REPLY

Based upon the CSAC's written response, the CSAC will comply with the corrective actions specified in these report findings. Within 90 days of the date of this report, a written corrective action response, including documentation demonstrating implementation of the corrective actions specified, must be submitted to the CRU.



December 2, 2022

State Personnel Board Compliance Review Unit 801 Capitol Mall Sacramento, CA 95815

Re: California Student Aid Commission Causes for Each Finding to Draft State Personnel Board Compliance Report – Resubmittal

Thank you for the opportunity to review and provide causes for each finding noted in the October 21, 2022, State Personnel Board (SPB) draft compliance review report. In short, the California Student Aid Commission (CSAC) concurs with the results of the audit, However, when reviewing the draft SPB compliance review report, it indicates we have four repeat findings. When comparing our October 4, 2019, CSAC compliance review report with the 2022 SPB draft compliance review report. The October 4, 2019, report indicates the following:

The CRU reviewed all the records for the CSAC's mandated training program that was in effect during the compliance review period. The CSAC's ethics training and supervisory training were found to be in compliance.

Therefore, we are requesting that the comment indicating a repeat finding for the area of mandated training for the supervisory training be removed.

We take the below findings very seriously and are striving to meet all requirements moving forward.

Finding No. 3: Probationary Evaluations Were Not Provided for All Appointments Reviewed

Summary:

The CSAC did not provide 2 probationary reports of performance for 1 of the 11 appointments reviewed by the Compliance Review Unit (CRU).

Cause:

The CSAC has not done an adequate job of regularly communicating the importance of completing probationary evaluations to department managers and supervisors. Additionally, the department has not adequately enforced the completion of probationary evaluations that are not submitted.

Finding No. 6: Ethics Training Was Not Provided for All Filers

Summary:

The CSAC did not provide ethics training to 10 of 35 existing filers. In addition, the CSAC did not provide ethics training to 3 of 11 new filers within six months of their appointment.

Cause:

The CSAC had not adequately enforced the ethics training requirements.

Finding No. 7: Sexual Harassment Prevention Training Was Not Provided for All Employees

Summary:

The CSAC did not provide sexual harassment prevention training to 5 of 9 new supervisors within 6 months of their appointment. In addition, the CSAC did not provide sexual harassment prevention training to 3 of 20 existing supervisors every 2 years. This is the second consecutive time this has been a finding for the CSAC.

Additionally, the CSAC did not provide sexual harassment prevention training to 15 of 101 non-supervisors every 2 years.

Cause:

The CSAC has not adequately enforced the timely completion of sexual harassment prevention training requirements.

Finding No. 8: Supervisory Training Was Not Provided for All Supervisors, Managers, and CEAs

Summary:

The CSAC did not provide basic supervisory training to 2 of 5 new supervisors within 12 months of appointment; did not provide CEA training to either of its 2 new CEAs within 12 months of appointment; and did not provide biennial leadership training to 11 of 12 existing supervisors, managers, and/or CEAs.

Cause:

The CSAC has not adequately enforced the supervisory training requirements.

Finding No. 16: Department Has Not Implemented a Monthly Internal Audit Process to Verify All Leave Input is Keyed Accurately and Timely

Summary:

The CSAC failed to implement a monthly internal audit process to verify all timesheets were keyed accurately and timely and to certify that all leave records have been reviewed and corrected if necessary. This is the second consecutive time this has been a finding for the CSAC.

Cause:

Due to a vacancy within the HRO and workload challenges arising from the COVID-19 pandemic, the CSAC was able to informally conduct monthly leave audits during the review period; however, completion of the Leave Activity and Correction Certification forms extended past the regular monthly audit requirement.

CSAC Corrective Action:

The CSAC has implemented a monthly internal audit process effective January 2022, in which all leave usage on the STD 634 is validated against the Leave Accounting and Balance reports (LAB) provided by SCO. CSAC has adopted the process for completing the Leave Activity and Correction Certification forms for each unit. The forms are retained separately as required by the process outlined in the HR Manual Section 2101. This process ensures leave usage and leave earned is reported correctly and timely.

Finding No. 19: Performance Appraisals Were Not Provided to All Employees

Summary:

The CSAC did not provide annual performance appraisals to 9 of 19 employees reviewed. This is the second consecutive time this has been a finding for the CSAC.

Cause:

The CSAC has not done an adequate job of regularly communicating the importance of completing, filing and tracking performance appraisals that are not completed.

Conclusion:

The CSAC is committed to continuing correcting the issues listed in the compliance review report, as well as ensuring all CSAC staff receive the appropriate training in order to remain in compliance with all applicable laws, rules, and policies. Thank you for the opportunity to respond to the compliance review report. Should you have any questions or concerns regarding our response, please contact Libby Knerl at (916) 464-7998.

Sincerely,

Libby Knerl

Personnel Officer

Libby Knerl